

## Perspective

# Proteomics in Exercise and Sports Science: Still a Useful Tool

**Petriz B\***

Department of Molecular and Physiologic Responses to Exercise, University Centre of the Federal District, Brazil

\*Corresponding author: Petriz B, Health Department, University Centre of the Federal District, Brazil

**Received:** March 16, 2017; **Accepted:** March 27, 2017;

**Published:** March 31, 2017

## Perspective

Exercise is a non-pharmacological agent well known to prevent and treat several diseases, especially those associated with physical inactivity. Proteome modulation in response to exercise is a cornerstone of this process since the modulation of metabolic enzymes and structural proteins are key events in phenotype modification, observed during cardiovascular improvement and skeletal muscle hypertrophy in response to exercise training [1]. In this sense, the analysis of protein expression profile upon diverse conditions in health and disease led to countless progress in the field of health research. Despite being widely performed for a long time, this type of analysis was coined as “proteomics” in the early 90’s.

The advance of proteomic tools coupled with mass spectrometry technology and the popularization of bioinformatic tools used in data analysis were responsible to complement the significant amount of genomic and transcriptomic data generated in the past years, giving us a wider view of the molecular mechanisms involved in complex pathologies such as metabolic disorders and cardiovascular diseases [2]. It’s fair to say that proteomics and, more recently, metabolomics have integrated the OMICs tools leading us to a more profound understanding of these diseases. In this context, several molecular players and cell pathways were included in the pathogenesis of obesity, diabetes and hypertension [3].

The field of exercise and sports science have also benefited with the OMICs scope, especially with proteomics. Due to technical limitations, a reduced number of these studies were conducted in human samples, being limited to skeletal muscle and body fluids. In most cases, rodents are used, however, equines, swine’s, fish, and other animal samples may be used as well [4-6]. Moreover, the proteomic analysis may also be conducted in cell cultures using an in vitro exercise model to better understand the molecular process involved in muscle contraction. One particular advance of this method is the analysis of skeletal muscle secretome, to date, one of the hot topics in exercising research [7].


Retrospectively, classic in gel (e.g. 2-DE) proteomic analysis was mainly used to investigate the effect of exercise stimuli in skeletal and cardiac muscle from animal models [8,9]. Furthermore, the development of fluorescent gel staining (e.g. DIGE) enhanced significantly the accuracy and sensitivity of proteomic data, being also used in many studies in this field, such as the effect of high intensive

exercise training (HIT) over the skeletal muscle proteome [10]. Moreover, exercise dose-response in proteome modulation was, and still is, one of the focus of exercise and sports science in proteomics [11].

More recently, through gel-free techniques, such as the wide variations of liquid chromatography and MS analysis, thousands of proteins were screened, showing several proteins to be altered by different training intensities and exercise regimen. As a fact, the progression of in-gel techniques to gel-free methods has significantly enhanced the number of identified proteins in these studies [12]. In addition, system biology tools are being successfully used to complement the proteomic data and assess the complex protein-to-protein interactions and their association network within an exercise stimuli context [13].

Biomarkers identification is still a promising issue to be continuously explored in exercise and health research, especially in non-invasive samples, such as urine and saliva [14]. However, despite its clinical significance [15], alterations in salivary proteome in response to exercise is still poorly explored. Thus, if well explored, targeting urinary and saliva biomarkers may further contribute to the field of exercise physiology to assess exercise dose-responses, training intensity, and overtraining status. The parameters may contribute significantly to the entire process of training planning and its execution [16].

At least, as mentioned before, muscle secretome is one of the actual exciting topics in exercise and sports proteomics. The release of extracellular micro vesicles, growth factors, and myokines through muscle contraction in response to exercise highlights the skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ, mediating several biologic events such as inflammation regulation, muscle hypertrophy, and cell metabolism [17]. Furthermore, secretome profiling is fundamental to



**Figure 1:** OMICs dynamics in exercise and sports science.

identify novel biomarkers associated with tissue injury, and muscle remodeling in response to training. Considering its biologic potential, this is still an open gap to be widely explored by proteomic tools.

## References

- Burniston JG & Hoffman EP. Proteomic responses of skeletal and cardiac muscle to exercise. *Expert Rev Proteomics*. 2011; 8: 361-377.
- Tyers M & Mann M. From genomics to proteomics. *Nature*. 2003; 422: 193-197.
- Deshmukh AS, Murgia M, Nagaraj N, Treebak JT, Cox J & Mann M. Deep proteomics of mouse skeletal muscle enables quantitation of protein isoforms, metabolic pathways and transcription factors. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2015; 14: 841-853.
- Richardson MR, Lai X, Dixon JL, Sturek M & Witzmann FA. Diabetic dyslipidemia and exercise alter the plasma low-density lipoproteome in Yucatan pigs. *Proteomics*. 2009; 9: 2468-2483.
- Martin-Perez M, Fernandez-Borras J, Ibarz A, Millan-Cubillo A, Felip O, et al. New insights into fish swimming: a proteomic and isotopic approach in gilthead sea bream. *J Proteome Res*. 2012; 11: 3533-3547.
- Scoppetta F, Tartaglia M, Renzone G, Avellini L, Gaiti A, et al. Plasma protein changes in horse after prolonged physical exercise: a proteomic study. *J Proteomics*. 2012; 75: 4494-4504.
- Scheler M, De Angelis MH, Al-Hasani H, Haring HU, Weigert C & Lehr S. Methods for proteomics-based analysis of the human muscle secretome using an in vitro exercise model. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2015; 1295: 55-64.
- Boluyt MO, Brevick JL, Rogers DS, Randall MJ, Scalia AF & Li ZB. Changes in the rat heart proteome induced by exercise training: Increased abundance of heat shock protein hsp20. *Proteomics*. 2006; 6: 3154-3169.
- Burniston JG. Changes in the rat skeletal muscle proteome induced by moderate-intensity endurance exercise. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2008; 1784: 1077-1086.
- Yamaguchi W, Fujimoto E, Higuchi M & Tabata I. A DIGE proteomic analysis for high-intensity exercise-trained rat skeletal muscle. *J Biochem*. 2010; 148: 327-333.
- Petritz BA, Gomes CP, Almeida JA, De Oliveira GP, Ribeiro FM, et al. The Effects of Acute and Chronic Exercise on Skeletal Muscle Proteome. *J Cell Physiol*. 2017; 232: 257-269.
- Aebbersold R & Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nature*. 2003; 422: 198-207.
- Bowden-Davies K, Connolly J, Burghardt P, Koch LG, Britton SL & Burniston JG. Label-free profiling of white adipose tissue of rats exhibiting high or low levels of intrinsic exercise capacity. *Proteomics*. 2015; 15: 2342-2349.
- Kohler M, Walpurgis K, Thomas A, De Maree M, Mester J, et al. Effects of endurance exercise on the urinary proteome analyzed by 2-D PAGE and Orbitrap MS. *Proteomics Clin Appl*. 2010; 4: 568-576.
- Grassl N, Kulak NA, Pichler G, Geyer PE, Jung J, Schubert S, et al. Ultra-deep and quantitative saliva proteome reveals dynamics of the oral microbiome. *Genome Med*. 2016; 8: 44.
- Petritz BA, Gomes CP, Rocha LA, Rezende TM & Franco OL. Proteomics applied to exercise physiology: a cutting-edge technology. *J Cell Physiol*. 2012; 227: 885-898.
- Raschke S, Eckardt K, Holven KB, Jensen J & Eckel J. Identification and validation of novel contraction-regulated myokines released from primary human skeletal muscle cells. *PLOS One*. 2013; 8: e62008.