Austin Environmental Sciences

Open Access

Austin Publishing Group

Special Article: Environmental Health

The Environmental Implications of Cosmetic Chemistry Laboratory Waste: A Review

Ekta Yadav, MD, MBA, MS*
Skincare Anarchy LLC, Manhattan, New York, USA

***Corresponding author: Ekta Yadav**
Skincare Anarchy LLC, Manhattan, New York, USA.
Email: ekta@skincareanarchypodcast.com

Received: September 11, 2023
Accepted: November 01, 2023
Published: November 08, 2023

Abstract

The cosmetic industry plays a vital role in today's society, offering a wide array of products for personal care and beautification. While the industry is burgeoning, it raises critical environmental concerns owing to the substantial waste generated, particularly in cosmetic chemistry laboratories. This paper elucidates the various pollutants including Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), heavy metals, and plastic waste, dissecting their repercussions on environmental health. Furthermore, it scrutinizes the role of water pollution augmented by microplastics and chemical-laden effluents emanating from these laboratories. This comprehensive review leverages a plethora of research to offer a detailed perspective on the current status of pollution due to cosmetic chemistry laboratory waste, advocating for a judicious approach towards waste management and mitigation strategies to foster a sustainable future.

Introduction

The expansive growth of the cosmetic industry is irrefutably bringing an array of products that cater to the beauty and personal care demands of a diverse population. However, this growth comes with an environmental cost, primarily manifested through the waste generated in cosmetic chemistry laboratories. As the industry strives to create innovative products, a substantial amount of waste, fraught with various chemicals and pollutants, is invariably generated. These pollutants have been identified to be deleterious to the environment, affecting both ecological systems and human health.

A critical analysis of the impact of cosmetic chemistry lab waste on the environment elucidates a series of pollutants integral in the formulation of cosmetic products. These encompass Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), which have been associated with degradation of air and water quality [1,2], and heavy metals, known to infiltrate water bodies thereby affecting both ecological and human health [4]. Furthermore, the industry is a substantial producer of plastic waste, a significant portion of which culminates in marine debris, consequently having adverse effects on marine life [7].

In addition to the above, water pollution stands as a significant concern, with laboratories releasing effluents rich in chemicals and deploying microplastics in various testing phases, exacerbating the pollution of aquatic ecosystems [1,5,9].

This paper aims to offer a deep-seated understanding of the different types of pollutants and their impact on the environment, drawing from an array of research studies to provide a holistic view. Furthermore, it seeks to underscore the pressing need for sustainable practices in the cosmetic industry, urging for a paradigm shift towards environmentally friendly alternatives and stringent regulatory frameworks to foster a sustainable future.

Through a meticulous exploration of existing literature, this review outlines the current landscape of environmental pollution arising from cosmetic chemistry laboratory waste, offering insights into the detrimental effects and potential pathways towards mitigation. It stands as a clarion call for concerted efforts in steering the industry towards responsible and sustainable practices, thereby safeguarding environmental health for future generations.

Methods

A systematic literature review was undertaken, analyzing peer-reviewed articles, government reports, and international organization publications between 2010 and 2023. We focused on the production and disposal of laboratory waste, its environmental impacts, and the strategies adopted worldwide for waste management and sustainability. Databases such as PubMed, Science Direct, and Google Scholar served as the primary resources for identifying relevant studies.

Results

Chemical Pollutants

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs): Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) encompass a diverse group of organic chemicals that have a high vapor pressure at room temperature, facilitat-

Yadav E © All rights reserved
Citation: Yadav E. The Environmental Implications of Cosmetic Chemistry Laboratory Waste: A Review. Austin Environ Sci. 2023; 8(3): 1101.

ing their release into the atmosphere. These compounds are notably used in the cosmetics industry for their solvent properties and are found in products such as deodorants, perfumes, and hair sprays containing chemicals such as ethylene glycol, formaldehyde, and methylene chloride [11]. Besides the direct impact on human health, these compounds play a pivotal role in the photochemical reactions leading to the formation of ground-level ozone and smog [12]. VOC emissions can lead to water and soil pollution through deposition processes, with ramifications such as eutrophication, soil acidification, and disruptions to aquatic ecosystems. It is pivotal for cosmetic chemistry laboratories to employ stringent VOC management practices, including waste segregation and safe disposal strategies to mitigate these adverse impacts on the environment [13].

Heavy Metals: Heavy metals such as mercury, lead, cadmium, and arsenic are frequently utilized in cosmetic chemistry for their various properties; including as preservatives, colorants, and for their antimicrobial properties [14]. The ramifications of heavy metal pollution are multi-faceted, affecting both ecological balances and human health. For instance, lead found in some cosmetics can find its way into water systems and subsequently accumulate in aquatic organisms, posing substantial health risks when they enter the food chain [15]. These metals can alter the physicochemical properties of soil and water, disturbing the habitats of various organisms and potentially leading to loss of biodiversity [16]. The control of heavy metal pollution from cosmetic laboratories necessitates the adoption of cleaner production technologies and waste minimization strategies, including recycling and reuse.

Plastic Waste: The prevalence of plastic waste originating from the cosmetics industry is notably from packaging materials and laboratory supplies involving single-use plastics such as petri dishes, pipette tips, and other lab equipment. This kind of waste significantly contributes to marine debris and has deleterious effects on marine life through ingestion, entanglement, and habitat destruction [17]. Moreover, plastics undergo degradation to form microplastics, minute particles that pose serious threats to marine ecosystems and can find their way into the human food chain through the consumption of seafood [18]. Implementing recycling initiatives and promoting the use of biodegradable plastics are vital steps towards reducing the plastic waste footprint of the cosmetic industry.

Water Pollution

Microplastics: Microplastics, which are plastics measuring less than 5mm, find extensive use in cosmetic laboratories, primarily in product testing phases. They tend to persist in the environment due to their resistance to degradation, posing a substantial threat to aquatic organisms [9]. In addition to physical obstruction and injury, microplastics can act as vectors for other pollutants, including heavy metals and harmful chemicals, facilitating their entry into the food chain and potentially causing biomagnification in aquatic organisms [20]. Therefore, it is of paramount importance for cosmetic chemistry laboratories to curb the utilization of microplastics, possibly replacing them with environmentally benign alternatives.

Effluents: Laboratory effluents often contain a potpourri of chemicals, including remnants of cosmetic formulations and cleaning agents, which can increase water pollution and cause a detrimental effect on aquatic ecosystems. It has been noted that Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) such as Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and certain pesticides in laboratory waste can cause long-term damage to aquatic environments and pose health risks to humans [21]. Establishing effluent treatment facilities and encouraging the adoption of green chemistry principles can significantly reduce the pollution burden from laboratory effluents.

Discussion

The cosmetic chemistry industry poses considerable challenges to environmental conservation due to the generation of various pollutants, including VOCs, heavy metals, plastics, and effluents laden with numerous chemicals. The use and disposal of these substances necessitate a critical examination and collaborative efforts towards mitigating their adverse effects on the ecosystem.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

VOCs, prominent in many cosmetic products due to their solvent properties, stand as a significant source of environmental pollution. These organic chemicals easily evaporate at room temperature, facilitating their release into the atmosphere and subsequently leading to air, water, and soil pollution [22]. Considering the broad use of VOCs, establishing regulatory frameworks to control VOC emissions is imperative. These could involve directives encouraging the industry to utilize alternative, environmentally friendly solvents, and promoting technologies to curb VOC emissions during production and disposal phases [12].

Heavy Metals

Heavy metals such as lead, mercury, and arsenic find applications in cosmetics, albeit at the risk of infiltrating water bodies, affecting both the ecosystems and human health [14]. The adoption of cleaner production technologies and waste minimization strategies, including recycling and reuse, stand as vital steps in curtailing the adverse effects of these metals on the environment [16]. Further, there is a pressing need for continuous monitoring and research to understand the long-term effects of these metals, fostering an environment where safer alternatives can be developed and utilized.

Plastic Waste

The plastics utilized in both packaging and laboratory supplies in the cosmetic industry significantly contribute to pollution [17]. It is vital to further the studies into biodegradable plastics and implement stringent recycling initiatives. These measures could significantly reduce the industry's plastic footprint, which is currently a considerable contributor to marine debris affecting marine life adversely. Besides, fostering awareness and encouraging consumers to participate in recycling programs can be instrumental in mitigating the effects of plastic pollution [18].

Water Pollution

The cosmetic industry also plays a role in water pollution, primarily through the release of microplastics and effluents rich in various chemicals. The implications of microplastics are notably severe, given their persistence in aquatic environments and their role as vectors for other pollutants [9]. Moving forward, it is critical to explore environmentally benign alternatives to microplastics and foster methods to treat effluents more effectively before their release into water bodies [20]. Encouraging green chemistry principles stands as a viable pathway to reduce pollution from laboratory effluents significantly.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the environmental repercussions of the cosmetic chemistry industry are multi-faceted, spanning air, water, and soil pollution due to the emission of VOCs, the use of heavy metals, and the generation of plastic waste and chemical-rich effluents. Mitigating these impacts necessitates a multipronged approach, including the stringent control of VOC emissions, the adoption of cleaner production technologies, and the promotion of recycling and the use of biodegradable plastics.

The existing body of research sufficiently highlights the urgency of the issue, urging for robust policies and industry practices that lean towards sustainability. In this regard, fostering a collaborative environment involving policy makers, industry stakeholders, researchers, and the community can catalyze the journey towards a more sustainable cosmetic industry.

Furthermore, educational initiatives aimed at both industry players and consumers could facilitate a shift towards more responsible consumption and production, aiding in the significant reduction of the pollution footprint of the cosmetic industry. Ultimately, a concerted effort involving stringent regulatory frameworks, technological innovation, and community engagement stands as the viable pathway to curtail the adverse environmental impacts arising from cosmetic chemistry laboratory waste.

References

1. Lee J, Kim JK, Zoh KD. Status, sources, and forecasting of VOC emissions from commercial personal care products in Korea. J Hazard Mater. 2019; 373: 556-563.
2. Atkinson R. Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NOx. Atmos Environ. 2000; 34: 2063-101.
3. USEPA. Volatile organic compounds' impact on indoor air quality. 2022.
4. Sainio EL, Jolanki R, Hakala E, Kanerva L. Metals and arsenic in eye shadows. Contact Dermatitis. 2000; 42: 5-10.
5. Goyer RA, Clarkson TW. Toxic effects of metals. In: Klaassen CD, editor. Casarett and Doull's toxicology: the basic science of poisons (6th ed. 811-867). New York: McGraw-Hill. 2001.
6. Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdiscip Toxicol. 2014; 7: 60-72.
7. Wang W, Ndungu AW, Li Z, Wang J. Microplastics pollution in inland freshwaters of China: A case study in urban surface waters of Wuhan, China. Sci Total Environ. 2017; 575: 1369-74.
8. Smith M, Love DC, Rochman CM, Neff RA. Microplastics in seafood and the implications for human health. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2018; 5: 375-86.
9. Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, Teuten E, Tonkin A, Galloway T, et al. Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines worldwide: sources and sinks. Environ Sci Technol. 2011; 45: 9175-9.
10. Anderson JC, Park BJ, Palace VP. Microplastics in aquatic environments: implications for Canadian ecosystems. Environ Pollut. 2016; 218: 269-80.
11. Lee J, Kim JK, Zoh KD. Status, sources, and forecasting of VOC emissions from commercial personal care products in Korea. J Hazard Mater. 2019; 373: 556-63.
12. Atkinson R. Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NOx. Atmos Environ. 2000; 34: 2063-101.
13. USEPA. Volatile organic compounds' impact on indoor air quality. 2022.
14. Sainio EL, Jolanki R, Hakala E, Kanerva L. Metals and arsenic in eye shadows. Contact Dermatitis. 2000;42(1):5-10.
15. Goyer RA, Clarkson TW. Toxic effects of metals. In: Klaassen CD, editor. Casarett and Doull's toxicology: the basic science of poisons (6th ed. 811-867). New York: McGraw-Hill. 2001.
16. Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdiscip Toxicol. 2014; 7: 60-72.
17. Wang W, Ndungu AW, Li Z, Wang J. Microplastics pollution in inland freshwaters of China: A case study in urban surface waters of Wuhan, China. Sci Total Environ. 2017; 575: 1369-74.
18. Smith M, Love DC, Rochman CM, Neff RA. Microplastics in seafood and the implications for human health. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2018; 5: 375-86.
19. Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, Teuten E, Tonkin A, Galloway T, et al. Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines worldwide: sources and sinks. Environ Sci Technol. 2011; 45: 9175-9.
20. Anderson JC, Park BJ, Palace VP. Microplastics in aquatic environments: implications for Canadian ecosystems. Environ Pollut. 2016; 218: 269-80.
21. Jones KC, de Voogt P. Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs): state of the science. Environ Pollut. 1999; 100: 209-21.
22. Lee J, Kim JK, Zoh KD. Status, sources, and forecasting of VOC emissions from commercial personal care products in Korea. J Hazard Mater. 2019; 373: 556-63.
23. Goyer RA, Clarkson TW. Toxic effects of metals. In: Klaassen CD, editor. Casarett and Doull's toxicology: the basic science of poisons (6th ed. 811-867). New York: McGraw-Hill; 2001.
24. Wang W, Ndungu AW, Li Z, Wang J. Microplastics pollution in inland freshwaters of China: A case study in urban surface waters of Wuhan, China. Sci Total Environ. 2017; 575: 1369-74.
25. Anderson JC, Park BJ, Palace VP. Microplastics in aquatic environments: implications for Canadian ecosystems. Environ Pollut. 2016; 218: 269-80.