

## Editorial

# The Value of P Wave Interval and Dispersion in the Prediction of Atrial Fibrillation in the Emergency Setting

**Sébastián V and Szabó Z\***

Institute of Medicine, Division of Emergency Medicine, Clinical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Hungary

**\*Corresponding author:** Zoltán Szabó, Institute of Medicine, Division of Emergency Medicine, Clinical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, P.O. Box 19. Nagyerdei krt. 98.4032 Debrecen, Hungary

**Received:** November 05, 2016; **Accepted:** November 14, 2016; **Published:** November 16, 2016

## Editorial

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common rhythm disorder nowadays. Its prevalence is 10%–17% among the elderly, and has a prevalence of 0.12%–0.16% with regard to younger people [1]. Since atrial fibrillation is common it is frequently noticed in the emergency care units. Previously, certain electrocardiographic markers, such as P wave duration and dispersion have been introduced to recognize patients with an increased risk for atrial fibrillation.

Various underlying factors may contribute to the appearance of atrial fibrillation, e.g. high blood pressure, valvulopathies, lung diseases, heart surgery, hyperthyroidism, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease or excessive caffeine or alcohol consumption [2,3]. However, in 10% of patients with lone atrial fibrillation no provoking substrates can be determined. Nevertheless, in most subjects myocardial histological changes (e.g. fatty degeneration, hypertrophy) and atrial dilatation are observed [4-7]. This structural remodeling is considered to play a pathological role in the secondary electrical transformation (anisotropy) of the myocardium that is manifested as the lengthening of the intra- and interatrial conduction times of the sinus impulses. Secondary to these conduction anomalies micro reentry mechanism may appear which has been shown to be a crucial cause in the genesis of atrial fibrillation. Due to its hemodynamic and thromboembolic consequences the prevention of AF has a significant clinical importance. Although palpitation, vertigo, dyspnea and chest/back pain are commonly observed, approximately 11% of patients with AF have been found to be asymptomatic [8].

Previously, it has been shown that the prolongation of the P wave and P dispersion (Pd) on the surface electrocardiogram can predict atrial arrhythmias including AF [9,10]. P wave duration of the surface electrocardiogram is determined as the section from the first positive electrical activity after the T wave (or the U-wave) to the intersection of the descending branch of P wave and the isoelectric line. During manual measurements, examiners should use three consecutive P waves in each leads for the analysis, and calculate their average duration. This value is the P wave duration in the given lead. It is desirable to use the longest P wave of the 12 leads as the P interval during the statistical analyses. P dispersion (Pd) is calculated as the difference between the longest and shortest P interval of the 12 leads.

P interval and P dispersion can be corrected to the heart rate (P<sub>maxc</sub>, P<sub>d<sub>c</sub></sub>) according to Bazett's formula (P<sub>maxc</sub> = P<sub>max</sub>/√RR (msec), P<sub>d<sub>c</sub></sub> = P<sub>d</sub>/√RR (msec)) [11].

Our previous clinical studies have demonstrated that the aforementioned atrial arrhythmia markers can be used effectively in the daily routine for the prediction of atrial fibrillation. Throughout our work clinical data of patients undergoing hemodialysis due to their end stage kidney diseases have been elaborated. In such patients the occurrence of atrial fibrillation is higher compared to the average population (approximately 10%). Our results have demonstrated that P wave duration and dispersion significantly increase at the end of the hemodialysis sessions compared to the values measured at the beginning of the treatment [12]. Therefore, the careful monitoring of these electrocardiographic markers can help to recognize patients with increased susceptibility for atrial arrhythmias, moreover it has an important role in the prevention of atrial rhythm disturbances in this population.

Recently, studies have also shown the clinical utility of P wave interval and dispersion in the prediction of atrial fibrillation in several clinical conditions (e.g. hyperthyroidism, post cardiac surgery etc.) [13,14]. However, only a few studies have been dealing with the diagnostic benefits of these ECG markers in the emergency setting so far. According to one of these publications in patients with acute pulmonary embolism, the increase of P dispersion may be a valuable predictor of early death [15].

Taking all the available data into consideration, we conclude that these non-invasive electrocardiographic measurements supposedly will play a growing clinical role in the arrhythmia prevention during the emergency evaluation of patients in the future.

## References

- Piccini JP, Hammill BG, Sinner MF. Incidence and prevalence of atrial fibrillation and associated mortality among Medicare beneficiaries, 1993–2007. *Circ Cardiovascular Qual Outcomes*. 2012; 5: 85-93.
- Genovesi S, Vincenti A, Rossi E. Atrial fibrillation and morbidity and mortality in a cohort of long-term hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2008; 51: 255-262.
- McCullough PA, Steigerwalt S, Tolia K. Cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease: data from the Kidney Early Evaluation Program (KEEP). *Curr Diab Rep*. 2011; 11: 47-55.
- Allessie MA, Bonke FIM, Schopman FJG. Circus movement in rabbit atrial muscle as a mechanism of tachycardia, II: the role of nonuniform recovery of excitability in the occurrence of unidirectional block as studied with multiple microelectrodes. *Circ Res*. 1976; 39: 168-177.
- Boineau JP, Schuessler RB, Brockus CW. Natural and evoked atrial flutter due to circus movement in dogs. Role of abnormal atrial pathways, slow conduction, nonuniform refractory period distribution and premature beats. *Am J Cardiol*. 1980; 45: 1167-1181.
- Olgin JE, Kalman JM, Lesh MD. Role of atrial endocardial structures as barriers to conduction during human type I atrial flutter DZ activation and

- entrainment mapping guided by intracardiac echocardiography. *Circulation*. 1995; 92: 1839-1848.
7. Dittrich HC, Pearce LA, Hart RG. Left atrial diameter in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: an echocardiographic study. *Am Heart J*. 1999; 137: 494-499.
  8. Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1991; 22: 983-988.
  9. Andrikopoulos GK, Dilaveris PE, Richter DJ. Increased variance of P wave duration on the electrocardiogram distinguishes patients with idiopathic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2000; 23: 1127-1132.
  10. Aytemir K, Ozer N, Atalar E, et al. P wave dispersion on 12-lead electrocardiography in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2000; 23: 1109-1112.
  11. Pall A, Czifra A, Sebestyén V, Szabó Z. Hemodiafiltration and hemodialysis differently affect P wave duration and dispersion on the surface electrocardiogram. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2016; 48: 271-277.
  12. Szabó Z, Kakuk G, Lorincz I. Effects of hemodialysis on maximum P wave duration and P wave dispersion. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2002; 17: 1634-1638.
  13. Guntekin U, Gunes Y, Arslan S. P wave duration and dispersion in patients with hyperthyroidism and the short-term effects of antithyroid treatment. *Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J*. 2009; 9: 251-259.
  14. HashemiJazi M, Amirpour A, Gharipour M. Predictive value of P wave duration and dispersion in post coronary artery bypass surgery atrial fibrillation. *ARYA Atheroscler*. 2012; 8: 59-62.
  15. Akgüllü Ç, Ömürlü İK, Eryılmaz U, Avcil M, Güngör H, Zencir C, et al. Predictors of early death in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2015; 33: 214-221.