# **Special Article - Tobacco**

# Heavy Metals Contents and Risk Assessment of Tobacco Soil on the Edge of Sichuan Basin

**Mengling Y<sup>1,2</sup>, Dan Z<sup>1\*</sup>, Hao J<sup>1</sup> and Hui Q<sup>1,2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

\*Corresponding author: Dan Z, Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

**Received:** March 14, 2017; **Accepted:** June 26, 2017; **Published:** July 03, 2017

## Abstract

The contents of eight heavy metals (Cu,Cd,Cr,Ni,Pb,Mn,Co,Se) in 120 surface tobacco soil samples collected in edge of Sichuan Basin (Pingdi, Puan, Xingwen, Gulin) were analyzed. The contamination of heavy metals in soil was assessed with single-factor pollution index method and Nemerow comprehensive pollution index method. The results showed that Cu,Cr,Ni,Pb,Co were main risk factors of soil heavy metal pollution. In Gulin, the concentrations of Cd,Mn and Se were higher than other three areas, with the sample overstandard rate of 90%, 20% and 30%. The Nemerow assessment showed that the comprehensive pollution index of Gulin was above 1, while Pingdi, Xingwen, Puan was below 1. It indicated that the soil in Gulin was slight polluted, and the soil in Pingdi, Xingwen, Puan were clean.

Keywords: Tobacco soil, Heavy metals, Pollution assessment

# Introduction

Heavy metal is a kind of typical POPs, though many ways entering the soil, such as the sewage Irrigation, air dry and wet deposition, sludge use in agriculture, because of poor mobility and degradability, easily absorbed by plants, it decrease the crop yield and quality [1-3]. Heavy metals also damage human healthy through food chain transferring the human body [4-6]. Recently, there are abundance reports on the Arsenic Poisoning, Cadmium Rice, Blood Lead, soil heavy metals pollution has become one of the most severe problem in soil pollution [7-8].

Sichuan is a major planting tobacco province in China, with second large arable flied area in China. Agricultural products quality is closely related to the purity of soil. It's necessary to measure and evaluate the soil heavy metals pollution in order to guarantee the sustainability of tobaccco products' quality and safety. Since the 1980s, researchers have began to focus on the heavy metals pollution in Chendu Plain, but few report on the risk assessment of farmland heavy metals contents on the edge of Sichuan [9-12]. We measured the heavy metal contents in Panzhihua,Yibin, Guangyuan, Luzhou, offering a reference for improving soil quality and ensuring tobacco products safety through evaluated and analysis the heavy metals pollution.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Site description

Soil samples are collected in four areas: Pingdi town in Panzhihua, Puan town in Guangyuan, Gulin town in Luzhou, Xingwen Town in Yibin. Pingdi town is located in southwest of Sichuan, with the coordinates N:26°5′,E:101°73′, belonging to the South Asian tropical climate with great differences in temperature during day and night. The annual average temperature is 20.4°C, The annual sunshine hours is 2745 hours, The frost-free period exceeds 300 days. Red soil in the area of Pingdi is newly reclaimed. Puan town is located in north of Sichuan with the coordinates N:31°62′,E:105°41′,the annual average temperature is 15.4°C, the annual average precipitation is 1039.4 mm, the frost-free period exceeds 270 days. Calcareous purple soil in the area of Puan is newly reclaimed. Gulin town is located on the southern edge of Sichuan, with the coordinates N:27°41′-28°20′, E:105°34′-106°20′. The annual average temperature is 18.0°C, the annual average precipitation is 748.4 mm-1112.7 mm. The soil type of this area is acid purple soil which the previous crop was vegetables. Xingwen county is located on the southern edge of Sichuan Basin, with the coordinate N:28°04′-28°, E:104°52′-105°21′, belonging to the Subtropical humid climate, the annual average precipitation is 1234.7 mm, the annual average temperature is 17.4°C, the soil type of this area is neutral purple soil which the previous crop was tomato.

# Method of soil sampling collection

All 120 (30 in Pingdi, 30 in Puan, 30 in Gulin, 30 in Xingwen) Soil samples (0–20 cm depth from the surface) were collected using a stainless steel auger according to soil environmental monitoring technical specifications (HJ/T166-2004) [13]. Soil was taken from 5 sites chose in every test place, making a 1 kg composite sample by four quarter method. All solid samples were ground in a mortar to pass through a 100-mesh polyethylene sieve and stored in a desiccator, and stored at 4°C in a refrigerator prior to chemical analyses.

#### **Chemical analysis**

Solid samples were digested in a poly-tetrafluoroethylene container with a mixture of  $HNO_3$  (5 ml) – HF (1 ml) –  $HClO_4$  (1 ml). The mixture was heated at 180°C for 10 h, cooled to room temperature, and diluted with deionized water to 30 ml. The aqueous samples were acidified to pH 1.5 with 4 ml sub-boiling quartz distilled 6N HCl per 1 L of sample. Concentrations of Pb, Cd, Cr, Mn, Se and Ni were determined using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, Agilent 7500a, USA) [14-15]. Sample replicates, reagent blanks, and standard reference materials (GBW07429, the National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials of China) were included in each batch of analysis to ensure the quality of analysis. The recovery of spiked standard for each element ranged between

J Drug Discov Develop and Deliv - Volume 4 Issue 1 - 2017 **ISSN : 2471-0288** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Dan et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Mengling Y, Dan Z, Hao J and Hui Q. Heavy Metals Contents and Risk Assessment of Tobacco Soil on the Edge of Sichuan Basin. J Drug Discov Develop and Deliv. 2017; 4(1): 1027.

| Table 1. The standard value of soil heavy metals contents (hg/kg). |        |                          |                         |         |      |                   |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Heavy<br>metal                                                     | Degree | Primary Standard         | andard Second<br>Standa |         |      | Tertius<br>Degree |  |  |  |
|                                                                    | pН     | Natural background value | <6.5                    | 6.5-7.5 | >7.5 | >6.5              |  |  |  |
| Cd                                                                 |        | 0.2                      | 0.3                     | 0.3     | 0.6  | 1                 |  |  |  |
| Cu                                                                 |        | 35                       | 50                      | 100     | 100  | 400               |  |  |  |
| Ni                                                                 |        | 40                       | 40                      | 50      | 60   | 200               |  |  |  |
| Pb                                                                 |        | 35                       | 250                     | 300     | 350  | 500               |  |  |  |
| Cr                                                                 |        | 90                       | 150                     | 200     | 250  | 300               |  |  |  |
| Mn                                                                 |        | 1500                     |                         |         |      |                   |  |  |  |
| Co                                                                 |        | 40                       |                         |         |      |                   |  |  |  |
| Se                                                                 |        | 1                        |                         |         |      |                   |  |  |  |

Table 1: The standard value of soil heavy metals contents (mg/kg).

Table 2: The grading standards of soil pollution

| Degree | Р     | Pollution Degree   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|-------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I      | <0.7  | Security level     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II     | 0.7-1 | Alert level        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III    | 1-2   | Light pollution    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV     | 2-3   | Moderate pollution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V      | >3    | Severe pollution   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |       |                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

90% and 110%.

## Method and standard of assessment

**Method and standard of single heavy metal content assessment:** Using the simple heavy metals pollution assessment method can evaluate the dominate heavy metals pollution degree which is indicated by pollution index. Single-factor heavy metals content evaluation standards with reference to soil environmental quality standards (C3B15618-1995) [16] secondary standard which is for agricultural production and human health (Table 1). Pollution index of each metal was calculated using Eq. (1)

| Pi=Ci/Si          | (1) |
|-------------------|-----|
| $P_1 = C_1 / S_1$ | (1) |

Where Ci is the heavy metal concentration in soil (mg/kg); Si is the metal reference concentration in the secondary standards (mg/ kg). An Pi below the value of 1 indicates negligible risk of heavy metals effects while an Pi above 1 indicates the soil has been polluted, the value of Pi is positive correlated with the pollution degree (Table 1).

**Method and standard of soil quality assessment:** Soil pollution is divided into five degrees (Security level, alert level, light pollution, Moderate pollution and heavy pollution)by comprehensive pollution index with reference to the HJ/T166-2004 (Table 2) [17].

Nemerow index is one of the most common methods of calculating soil heavy metals pollution index [18-20]. Compared with the simple pollution index, Nemerow index indicates soil heavy metals pollution comprehensively. Nemerow index PN of each metal was calculated using Eq. (2)

PN = [(Pmax2 + Pave2)/2]1/2 (2)

Where Pmax is the max of each heavy metal simple pollution index; Pave is the average of each heavy metal simple pollution index (Table 2).

**Results and Discussion** 

## Soil heavy metals content analysis

Cd concentrations in the four study areas varied from 0.03-0.96 mg/kg where the Cd average concentration in Gulin (0.43 mg/kg) is significantly higher than it in Puan (0.29 mg/kg), Xingwen (0.17 mg/ kg) and Pingdi (0.1 mg/kg), respectively; t-test, p<0.05. Single-factor pollution assessment indicated that 90% of soil samples in Gulin and 16% in Puan were above the secondary standard. Cu concentrations in the four study areas varied from 4.37-29.31 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin(22.14 mg/kg)>Puan(15.45 mg/kg)>Xingwen (12.8 mg/kg)>Pingdi (8.323 mg/kg). CV of Cu concentrations in the four study areas were about 30%. Ni concentrations in the four study areas varied from 4.57-26.3 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin (26.23 mg/kg)>Xingwen (19.48 mg/kg)>Puan (16.48 mg/ kg)>Pingdi (10.7 mg/kg), and Ni concentration in Gulin and Xingwen were significantly (p<0.05) higher than those it in Puan and Pingdi. Pb concentrations in the four study areas varied from 0.064-109.03 mg/kg ranked in the following order: Puan (26.23 mg/kg)>Gulin (25.3 mg/kg)>Pingdi (16.9 mg/kg)>Xingwen (0.72 mg/kg), with the no significant (p<0.05) difference in each area. Cr concentration in the four study areas varied from 15.9-109.03 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin (102.5 mg/kg)>Puan (61.5 mg/kg)>Pingdi (55.5 mg/kg)>Xingwen (17.22 mg/kg), and Cr concentration in Gulin was significantly (p<0.05) higher than those in Puan, Pingdi and Xingwen. Mn concentration in the four study areas varied from 150.9-1721.1 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin (1159.15 mg/kg)>Puan (585.5 mg/kg)>Pingdi (318.7 mg/kg)>Xingwen (260.6 mg/kg). Mn concentration in Gulin was significantly higher than it in Puan which is higher than those in Xingwen. Single-factor pollution assessment indicated that 20% of soil samples in Gulin were above the secondary standard while Mn concentration in the other areas were under the secondary standard. Co concentration in the four study areas varied from 2.09-13.39 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin (12.06 mg/kg)>Xingwen (10.85 mg/kg)>Puan (6.68 mg/ kg)>Pingdi (3.57 mg/kg). Se concentration in the four study varied from 0-1.16 mg/kg, ranked in the following order: Gulin (0.76 mg/ kg)>Puan (0.31 mg/kg)>Pingdi (0.24 mg/kg)>Xingwen (0.18 mg/kg), single-factor heavy metal pollution assessment indicated that 30% of soil samples in Gulin were above the secondary standard while Se concentration in the other areas were under the secondary Standard.

The eight heavy metals content assessment in the four study areas indicated that the each heavy metal concentration was highest in Gulin followed by Puan, Pingdi and Xingwen. In Pingdi, CV of each heavy metals was above 30%, especially, CV of Pb,Cd,Ni were 76.35%, 47.42% and 47.09%, which probably result from a small number of soil sample. In Puan, CV of Cd, Pb and Se were 96.59%, 70.00%, 57.11%, respectively, while CV of other heavy metals were under 30%, which indicated those three metals were uneven distribution. In Xingwen, CV of Se was highest (89.95%) while CV of other metals was under 25%.In Gulin, CV of the metals is below 35% which indicated high data reliability (Table 3).

### Soil heavy metals pollution assessment

Heavy metals pollution assessment in the four study areas was presented in (Table 4). Single-factor pollution index of each heavy metals in Pingdi were below 1, ranked in the following order: PCr(

#### Dan Z

|                                                                                                                                                                |                    | Cd        | Cu          | Ni          | Pb          | Cr          | Mn          | Co         | Se        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Pingdi                                                                                                                                                         | content            | 0.03-0.14 | 4.37-11.34  | 4.57-16.91  | 6.17-35.12  | 32.49-69.14 | 150.9-479.9 | 2.09-4.64  | 0.17-0.36 |
|                                                                                                                                                                | mean               | 0.1a      | 8.323a      | 10.7a       | 16.9a       | 55.5b       | 318.7ab     | 3.57a      | 0.24ab    |
|                                                                                                                                                                | CV(%)              | 47.42     | 35.11       | 47.09       | 76.35       | 29.49       | 42.17       | 30.28      | 35.11     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | Over limit rate(%) | /         | /           | /           | /           | /           | /           | /          | /         |
| Puan                                                                                                                                                           | content            | 0.04-0.96 | 12.51-24.82 | 14.7-20.73  | 11.48-81.39 | 57.09-68.08 | 385.2-695.7 | 6.11-7.45  | 0-0.65    |
|                                                                                                                                                                | mean               | 0.29ab    | 15.45b      | 16.48b      | 26.23b      | 61.5b       | 585.5b      | 6.68a      | 0.31b     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | CV(%)              | 96.59     | 27.31       | 9.03        | 70          | 4.91        | 14.87       | 5.53       | 57.11     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | Over limit rate(%) | 16        | /           | /           | 1           | 1           | /           | 1          | /         |
| Xingwen                                                                                                                                                        | content            | 0.1-0.23  | 11.21-18.24 | 17.31-26.3  | 0.64-0.91   | 15.9-18.87  | 185-351.2   | 9.5-13.2   | 0-0.36    |
|                                                                                                                                                                | mean               | 0.17a     | 12.8c       | 19.48bc     | 0.72cd      | 17.22a      | 260.6a      | 10.85b     | 0.18a     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | CV(%)              | 23.49     | 21.34       | 17.55       | 14.23       | 6.93        | 21.8        | 11.91      | 89.95     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | Over limit rate(%) | /         | /           | /           | /           | /           | /           | /          | /         |
| Gulin                                                                                                                                                          | content            | 0.23-0.56 | 13.29-29.31 | 17.99-22.59 | 18.99-34.9  | 94.73-109   | 859-1721.1  | 9.56-13.35 | 0.5-1.16  |
|                                                                                                                                                                | mean               | 0.43b     | 22.14d      | 20.3c       | 25.3b       | 102.5c      | 1159.15b    | 12.06c     | 0.76c     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | CV(%)              | 23.54     | 28.79       | 6.67        | 4.55        | 17.47       | 26.38       | 8.79       | 33.61     |
|                                                                                                                                                                | Over limit rate(%) | 90        | /           | /           | 1           | /           | 20          | 1          | 30        |
| Difference letters following mean values within the same column the same column indicate significant difference at p<0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range |                    |           |             |             |             |             |             |            |           |

Table 3: The content of heavy metals in four study areas (mg/kg).

 Table 4: The assessment of heavy metals pollution in four study areas

| A.r.o.c. | Single-factor pollution index |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | Comprohonoivo indev | Dellution Degree |
|----------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|------------------|
| Area     | Cd                            | Cu   | Ni   | Pb   | Cr   | Mn   | Co   | Se   | Comprehensive index | Foliation Degree |
| Pingdi   | 0.26                          | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.23                | safety           |
| Puan     | 0.53                          | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.42                | safety           |
| Xingwen  | 0.55                          | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.42                | safety           |
| Gulin    | 1.43                          | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 1.10                | Light pollution  |

0.27)>PCd(0.26)>PSe(0.25)>PNi(0.22)>PMn(0.21)>PCu(0.10)>PCo (0.09)>PPb(0.06), suggesting that the soil in Pingdi is safety. Singlefactor pollution index of each heavy metals in Puan were below 1, ranked in the following order: PCd(0.53)>PMn(0.39)>PSe(0.31) >PNi(0.29)>PCr(0.27) >PCo= PCu(0.17) >PPb(0.08), suggesting that the soil in Puan is safety. Single-factor pollution index of each heavy metals in Xingwen were below 1, ranked in the following order: PC r(0.55)>PNi(0.41)>PCo(0.27)>PSe(0.18)>PMn(0.17)>PCu(0.15)> PCr(0.09)>PPb(0.01), suggesting that the soil in Xingwen is safety. Single-factor pollution index of Cd in Gulin was 1.43, above 1 while the index of the other metals is below 1, ranked in the following order :PCd(1.43)>PMn(0.77)>PSe(0.69)>PCr(0.68)>PNi(0.51)>PC u(0.44)>PCo(0.30)>PPb(0.10), indicating Soil was contaminated by cadmium. Overall, PCd, PMn, PSe, PNi were higher than the index of other metals in the same areas, suggesting that Cd, Mn, Se, Ni were the main risk factors of the four study areas soil.

Nemerow comprehensive pollution index of the four study areas was presented in Table 4, ranked in the following order: Gulin (1.10)> Xingwen (0.42)= Puan> Pingdi (0.23), high heavy metals concentrations in Gulin and Xingwen could be due to the sample soil in Gulin and Xingwen were ripening soil while in Puan and Pingdi were the newly reclaimed soil. Nemerow comprehensive pollution index of Gulin was 1.10, above 1, suggesting that the soil was light pollution. Nemerow comprehensive pollution index of Xingwen, Puan and Pingdi all were below 1, indicating that the soil were safety (Table 4).

# Conclusion

The eight heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Cr, Mn, Co, Se) concentrations in Gulin all were significantly higher than Puan, Pingdi and Xingwen. The CV of heavy metals in Pingdi and Puan were higher. The single-factor pollution index method indicated: (1) In Gulin, 90%, 20% and 30% of soil samples were above the secondary standards of Cd, Mn and Se, respectively. (2) In Puan, 16% of soil samples were above the secondary standards of Cd. And the heavy metals concentration in Pingdi and Xingwen were below the secondary standards.

The single-factor pollution index of Cd in Gulin was above 1 while the index of eight metals in other three study areas were below 1, suggesting the soil in Gulin was lightly contaminated by Cd. Cd, Se, Mn, Ni were the main pollution risk factors in study areas, resulted from those high value of single-factor pollution index.

Nemerow comprehensive pollution index of Gulin was above 1 while it in Pingdi, Xingwen, Puan was below 1, indicating that the tobacco soil in Gulin was lightly polluted and soil in other areas was safety.

#### Dan Z

#### **Austin Publishing Group**

#### References

- Shiwei C, Suomao W, Xiange W. Heavy metal content characteristics of agricultural soils in the pearl river delta [J]. ACTA Scientiarum Naturalum Universitatis Sunyatesni. 2004; 43: 90 -94.
- Zhiliang C, Rongliang C, Jingshu Z. Removed technology of heavy metal pollution in soil [J]. Environment Protection. 2001: 17-19.
- Ting F, Wenlin Y, Haiyan C. Review on contamination and remediation technology of heavy metal in agricultural soil [J]. Ecology and Environmental Sciences. 2013; 22: 1727-1736.
- GERGEN L, HARMANESCU M. Application of principal component analysis in the pollution assessment with heavy metals of vegetable food chain in the old mining areas [J]. Chemistry Central. 2012, 6: 156.
- Yufang S, Qixing Z, Xueying S. Accumulation of pollutants in sediments and their eco-toxicity in the wastewater irrigation channel of western Shenyang [J] Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology. 2004; 15: 1926-1930.
- Xiaoe Y, Jiandong Y, Wuzhong N. Quality of agricultural environment and safety of agricultural products [J]. Review of China Agricultural Science and Technology. 2002; 4: 3-9.
- Xiaojie C, Zhengwei H, Dongjian X. Study on Soil Environmental Quality Based on Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation. A Case Study of Liwu Copper Area in Jiulong County [J] Research of Soil and Water Conservation. 2012; 19: 130-133.
- Jun Y, Tongbin C, Mei L. Assessing the Effect of Irrigation with Reclaimed Water: The Soil and Crop Pollution Risk of Heavy Metals [J]. Journal of Natural Resources. 2011; 26: 209-217.
- Xiaomei L, Yirong Z, Dingqing Y. Pollution analysis and assessment of heavy metals in vegetables from Chendu [J]. Sichuan Environment. 2003; 22: 49-51.
- Hongyin L, Zhiren X, Deyou C. Primary assessment of environmental quality of soils in Chengdu Area [J]. ACTA Scientiae Circumstantiae. 2004; 24: 297-303.

- ZheQin L, Wenyin C, Li L. Investigation of heavy metals in vegetables, Chengdu, China [J]. Journal of Geological Hazards and Environment Preservation. 2002; 13: 24-27.
- Ying D, Shirong Z, Ting L. Spatial distribution and influencing factors of soil mercury and lead in Chengdu Plain [J]. Journal of Agro-Environment Science. 25: 745-750.
- Soto-Jiménez MF, Flegal AR. Origin of lead in the Gulf of California Ecoregion using stable isotope analysis. J Geochem Explor. 101; 209–217.
- Haibin J, Hefang P, Zhengyu L. ICP/ MS for determination of bio-available heavy metals in soil samples [J]. Environmental Pollution and Control. 2008; 30: 60-66.
- Shen L, Fengzhi L, Xiaohua L. Pollution assessment and spatial analysis on soil heavy metals of park in Tianjin [J]. Ecoloegy and Environmental Sciences. 2010; 19: 1097-1102.
- China Standard Publishing House, China National Standards Compendium No. 209 [S]. Beijing: China Standard Publishing. 1996: 359-361.
- State Environmental Protection Administration. Technical Specification for Soil Environmental Inspection (HJ / T166-2004) [S]. Beijing: China Science Press. 2004.
- HAKANSON L. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution-control: a sedimentological approach [J]. Water Research. 1980; 14: 975-1001.
- Lina S, Tieheng S, Chengzhu J. The blurred appraise of heavy metal pollution on soils in Wolongquan River Basin [J]. Research of Soil and Water Conservation. 2006; 13: 126-129.
- Xishen Z, Anhuai I, Xiang G. Contamination of heavy metals in soil present situation and method [J]. Soil and Environmental Sciences. 2002; 11: 79-84.

J Drug Discov Develop and Deliv - Volume 4 Issue 1 - 2017 **ISSN : 2471-0288** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Dan et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Mengling Y, Dan Z, Hao J and Hui Q. Heavy Metals Contents and Risk Assessment of Tobacco Soil on the Edge of Sichuan Basin. J Drug Discov Develop and Deliv. 2017; 4(1): 1027.